

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Apr/28/04 14:58 P. 001

APR 28 2004

OFFICIAL

B.GOPINATH. B.E.

Flat 301, Saralaramam Apts, 16-11-310-8, Salimnagar, Hyderabad - 500036. PH : 40-24553602.

Fax no. 703,872,9306. Attn. Mr. Thomas J. Druan Jr.

In case the fax is not clear, Airmail copy may be awaited

To,

The Commissioner for Patents, USPTO.

28 April, 2004

Dear Sir,

Application no. 10 / 042,433, Multi knife cutting device.

Your Office communication of April 6, 2004.

I am enclosing three letters as explained below in response to the communication.

Letter no.1. Correction of errors in the amended specification with markings.

Letter no. 2. Correction of errors in the amended specification, clean version.

Letter no. 3. New amendments in the corrected specification, Explanation.


I am also enclosing amended claims 3 pages. and one sheet of drawing 3/3.

Also enclosed is one sheet of revised drawing 3/3.

I am sending these letters by Fax.

I am sending the corrected pages of the specifications and the specifications with new amendments by Air mail.

Yours sincerely,



B.Gopinath. email id <baddepudi40@yahoo.com>

To,
The Commissioner for Patents, USPTO,
Dear Sir,

Letter no.1. 28 April, 2004

My Application No. 10/ 042,433. Multi knife cutting device.

Amended Specification filed on 24, Nov 2003.

Corrections to Specifications (Marked version-21 pages) in reply to Your office communication d. 6th April, 2004.

Explanation for the corrections

The following are the corrections.

1. Deletion of text which is not part of the original specification :
Location - Page 16 of the marked specification
The heading < Device B . Multi knife cutting device, Inclined cutting action> and 6 lines which follow are deleted completely from the present status of strike through. This is done as this text is not part of the original specification.
2. New matter in the section < Other design variations with the same principle > :
11 lines of text starting from < Illustrative variations are : >
in page 19 of the marked version is deleted by strikethrough mark.
I am leaving the general statement in line 7 to 10 on the same page as it is. It is only stating that the invention may have modifications and variations within the scope of the invention claimed.
3. Page 16 of marked version of the specification:
3 lines of the narrative passage after the heading Embodiment 3, Multi knife cutting device, is deleted by strikethrough mark to avoid reference to letters.
4. All claims having numbers 7, 8, 9, 10 are cancelled to avoid Jepson-type claim which is not applicable to my invention. They are replaced with new claims. ~ 3 pages

This letter is being faxed.

After incorporating the above corrections, the latest marked version of the specification is being sent by airmail post. Only pages 16, 19, 21 where there are corrections and page 1 are being sent. No change in the other pages.


B. Gopinath.

To,

Letter no.2.

18 April, 2004.

The Commissioner for patents, USPTO.

Dear Sir,

, Application no. 10/ 042,433. Multi knife cutting device.

Corrections to the clean version of the specification, filed 24 Nov,2003 (10 pages) to comply with your communication of April 6, 2004.

I am detailing the corrections below .

1. Page 7, lines 2,3 and 4. These are being deleted (with strikethrough mark) , as advised in page 3 of your letter. This is to avoid reference to letters of correspondence.
2. Page 8,. Heading, " Other design variations with the same principle". Text under this heading starting from line 1 on page 9 "Illustrative variations are" upto line 11 which is the end of page 9, is deleted with strikethrough mark, to avoid new matter.

This spccification after corrections will form the basis for new amendments which I am making now. I will explain the reasons and the details of the new amendments in a separate letter.

I am faxing this letter and send the corrected clean specification ^{10 pages} by airmail.



B.Gopinath.